

Phoenix Office 40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429 Tetephone (602) 262-5311 Facsimile (602) 262-5747

Scott Bales Direct.Dial: 602 262-5365 Direct Fax: 602 734-3817 E-Mail: SBales@Irlaw.com Admitted in Arizona Tucson Office One South Church Avenue Suite 700 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1611 Telephone (520) 622-2090 Facsimile (520) 622-3088 Las Vegas Office 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 Telephone (702) 949-8200 Facsimile (702) 949-8398



Our File Number 41215-00008

03-AP-4/6

February 16, 2004

Via Fax (202-502-1755) and First Class Mail

Peter G. McCabe, Secretary
Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure
Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts
One Columbus Circle N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20544

Re:

Proposed FRAP 32.1

Dear Mr. McCabe:

I write to express my opposition to proposed FRAP 32.1, which would adopt a nationwide rule allowing the citation of unpublished decisions in appellate briefs.

My views reflect my twenty years' experience handling appellate cases. Currently, I oversee the appellate practice at my firm; from 1999-2001 I served as Arizona's Solicitor General. Before joining state government, I served as a Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Policy Development at the U.S. Department of Justice and as an assistant U.S. Attorney for the District of Arizona. I also have served since 2001 as a member of the Ninth Circuit's Advisory Committee on Appellate Rules and Internal Operating Procedures. This letter, of course, expresses only my individual opinion and is not a statement by any entity.

Requiring every Circuit to allow citation of unpublished decisions would, I believe, impair the ability of courts – such as the Ninth Circuit – to deal with the huge increase in caseloads. Proposed Rule 32.1 would delay the issuance of decisions, reduce the amount of judicial time available for the more difficult and novel cases, and likely result in more, not fewer, summary dispositions.

For these reasons, I respectfully urge the Committee to oppose proposed Rule 32.1.

Very truly yours,

Scott Bales